WO

DATE: <u>April 14, 2011</u>

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

LINUTED OTATEO OF AMERICA		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Marco	Domingo Sanchez-Ramirez	Case Number: <u>11-05785M-001</u>
In accordance vand was represent	vith the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 31 ented by counsel. I conclude by a prepo it pending trial in this case.	42(f), a detention hearing was held on April 14, 2011. Defendant was present onderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention
		FINDINGS OF FACT
I find by a prepo	onderance of the evidence that:	
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the	United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the cha	arged offense, was in the United States illegally.
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant con	stacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal hi	istory.
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.	
	There is a record of prior failure to ap	opear in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade la	aw enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum	of years imprisonment.
The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
1.	There is a serious risk that the defen	
2.		itions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
- , ,		ONS REGARDING DETENTION
a corrections fa appeal. The de of the United St	cility separate, to the extent practicable fendant shall be afforded a reasonable ates or on request of an attorney for th	the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in e, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending e opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court be Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the se of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
		S AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
IT IS O deliver a copy o Court.	RDERED that should an appeal of this fithe motion for review/reconsideration	s detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to n to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
Services suffici	URTHER ORDERED that if a release to the control of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial e the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and

JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge